arXiv:1012.0904v2 [hep-th] 29 Mar 2012

Superalgebra Realization of the 3-algebras in $\mathcal{N} = 6, 8$ Chern-Simons-matter Theories

Fa-Min Chen

Department of Physics, and State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology,
Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

(Dated: December 4, 2011)

Abstract

We use superalgebras to realize the 3-algebras used to construct $\mathcal{N} = 6, 8$ Chern-Simons-matter (CSM) theories. We demonstrate that the superalgebra realization of the 3-algebras provides a unified framework for classifying the gauge groups of the $\mathcal{N} \geq 5$ theories based on 3-algebras. Using this realization, we rederive the ordinary Lie algebra construction of the general $\mathcal{N} = 6$ CSM theory from its 3-algebra counterpart, and reproduce all known examples as well. In particular, we explicitly construct the Nambu 3-bracket in terms of a double graded commutator of $PSU(2|2)$. The $\mathcal{N} = 8$ theory of Bagger, Lambert and Gustavsson (BLG) with $SO(4)$ gauge group is constructed by using several different ways. A quantization scheme for the 3-brackets is proposed by promoting the double graded commutators as quantum mechanical double graded commutators.

I. INTRODUCTION

It was demonstrated that generic Chern-Simons gauge theories in 3D with matters are conformally invariant at the quantum level [1–5]. Extended superconformal Chern-Simons-matter (CSM) theories in 3D were conjectured to be the dual gauge descriptions of coincident M2-branes. There are essentially two ways to construct the $\mathcal{N} \geq 4$ CMS theories: the 3-algebra approach [6]−[16], and the ordinary Lie algebra approach [18, 22, 25–27].

We begin by reviewing the Lie (2-)algebra approach. In a seminar paper [25], Gaiotto and Witten (GW) have been able to construct the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory by enhancing an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry to $\mathcal{N} = 4$. The key point for enhancing $\mathcal{N} = 1$ to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ is to select the bosonic subalgebras of superalgebras admitting a quarternion structure as the Lie algebras of the gauge groups. The GW approach also inspired us to construct the 3-algebras in terms of superalgebras [16]. In Ref. [27], the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ GW theory was generated to include the twisted multiplets, and the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ BLG theories based on the Nambu 3-algebra [6]-[10] was demonstrated to be equivalent to the generalized $\mathcal{N} = 4$ GW theory with $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ gauge group. Also, by generalizing Giaotto and Wittens idea and method, the general $\mathcal{N} = 5, 6$ theories were constructed rapidly [18]. The theory of Aharony, Bergman, Jafferis and Maldacena (ABJM) [26] was demonstrated to be a special case of the general $\mathcal{N} = 6$ theory constructed in Ref. [18].

We now review the 3-algebra approach. The $\mathcal{N} = 8$ BLG theory was first constructed by virtue of the Nambu 3-algebraic structure [6–10]. Using a set of $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ σ-matrices to realize the Nambu 3-algebra, one can prove that the gauge symmetry generated by the Nambu 3-algebra is $SO(4)$ [11, 12, 21].

In an interesting paper, Bagger and Lambert (BL) have been able to construct the general $\mathcal{N} = 6$ theory in terms of a hermitian 3-algebra [13], and rederived the $\mathcal{N} = 6, U(M) \times U(N)$ theory by using a matrix realization of the hermitian 3-algebra. While the structure constants of the Nambu 3-algebra are totally antisymmetric, the structure constants of the hermitian 3-algebra are antisymmetric only in the first two indices.

Using the notion of symplectic 3-algebra, another class of $\mathcal{N} = 6$ CSM theories, with gauge group $Sp(2M) \times O(2)$, was constructed in Ref. [14]. One can also recast the $\mathcal{N} = 6, U(M) \times U(N)$ into the symplectic 3-algebraic formalism [14]. In Ref. [15], we formulated the most general $\mathcal{N} = 5, 6$ CSM theories in a unified symplectic 3-algebraic framework. All examples of $\mathcal{N} = 5$ theories were recovered in Ref. [12] by specifying the 3-algebra structure constants.

Recently, the $\mathcal{N} = 4, 5$ theories have been constructed by using $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superspace formulation in a symlectic 3-algebra approach [16]. All gauge groups of the $\mathcal{N} = 4, 5$ theories were classified by using superalgebra realization of the 3-algebras used in the $\mathcal{N} = 4, 5$ theories [16, 17].

In particular, our previous works demonstrate that the symplectic 3-algebra provides a unified framework for constructing all $\mathcal{N} \geq 4$ theories [14–16].

The symplectic 3-algebra approach must be equivalent to the Lie (2-)algebra approach, since the physical ($\mathcal{N} \geq 4$) theories must be the same. We find that the superalgebras are the key for proving the equivalence: On one hand, one must select the bosonic subalgebras of the superalgebras as the Lie algebras of the gauge groups of the $\mathcal{N} \geq 4$ theories constructed in terms of Lie algebras; on the other hand, one can use the *same* superalgebras to construct the 3-algebras in the $\mathcal{N} \geq 4$ theories .

One goal of this paper is to use superalgebras admitting symplectic structure to realize the 3-algebras in the $\mathcal{N} = 6, 8$ theories, and demonstrate that the superalgebra realization of the 3-algebras provides a unified framework for classifying the gauge groups of the $\mathcal{N} = 5, 6, 8$ theories based on 3-algebras.

Let us first briefly review the superalgebra realization of the symplectic 3-algebra in the $\mathcal{N} = 4, 5$ theory. The key idea of the realization is to identify the generators of the symplectic 3-algebra T_I with the fermionic generators of a superalgebra Q_I, i.e., $T_I \doteq Q_I$. The 3-bracket is then naturally realized in terms of a double graded commutator: $[T_I, T_J; T_K] \doteq [\{Q_I, Q_J\}, Q_K]$. As a result, one can convert the fundamental identity (FI) of the 3-algebra into the MMQ Jacobi identity of the superalgebra, with M a bosonic generator. In this realization, the Lie algebra of the gauge group generated by the 3-algebra is nothing but the bosonic part of the superalgebra, whose representation is determined by the fermionic generators. For a more mathematical approach to this subject, see Ref. [22–24], in which the relations between the 3-algebras and superalgebras are discussed by using representation theory.

Since one can enhance the supersymmetry from $\mathcal{N} = 5$ to $\mathcal{N} = 6, 8$ in the 3-algebraic framework [12, 15], one must be able to apply this realization to the $\mathcal{N} = 6, 8$ theories. Our strategy is the following: By decomposing the general superalgebra (30) used to realize the

3-algebra in the $\mathcal{N}=5$ theory, we are able to derive a general superalgebra (42) which can be used to construct the Hermitian 3-algebra in the $\mathcal{N}=6$ theory *and* the Nambu 3-algebra. By using the superalgebra realization of the 3-algebras, we rederive the general $\mathcal{N}=6$ CSM theories based on ordinary Lie algebra from its 3-algebra counterpart, and reproduce all known examples of the $\mathcal{N}=6$ CSM theories as well. In particular, we explicitly construct the totally antisymmetric Nambu 3-bracket in terms of a double graded commutator of the superalgebra $PSU(2|2)$, and we construct the $\mathcal{N}=8$ BLG theory with $SO(4)$ gauge group by using several different ways. Since $PSU(2|2)$ also takes the form of the general superalgebra (42), it is in this sense that the superalgebra realization of the 3-algebras provides a unified framework for classifying the gauge groups of the $\mathcal{N}=5,6,8$ theories based on 3-algebras.

Comparing with the matrix realization of 3-algebra, the superalgebra realization has the advantage that the constraint equation imposed on the structure constants of 3-algebra for closing the Poincare superalgebra can be solved in term of the QQQ Jacobi identity.

Another goal is to propose a quantization scheme for the 3-brackets, by promoting the double graded commutator as a quantum mechanical double graded commutator.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we review the $\mathcal{N}=5,6,8$ theories based on 3-algebras. In Section III A, we realize the hermitian 3-algebra used to construct the $\mathcal{N}=6$ theory by using a superalgebra, which is decomposed from the superalgebra used to realize the 3-algebra in $\mathcal{N}=5$ theory. Two examples, $OSp(2|2N)$ and $U(M|N)$ are presented in this section. In Section III B, we realize the Nambu 3-algebra in terms of $PSU(2|2)$, and use several different ways to construct the $\mathcal{N}=8$ BLG theory. In section IV, a quantization scheme for the 3-brackets is proposed. Section V is devoted to conclusions. Our conventions are summarized in Appendix A. Some commutation relations of the superalgebras used in this paper are given in Appendix B.

II. A REVIEW OF THE $\mathcal{N}=5,6,8$ THEORIES BASED ON 3-ALGEBRAS

A. A Short Review of Symplectic Three-Algebra

A 3-algebra is a complex vector space equipped with a 3-bracket, mapping three vectors to a fourth vector [15]:

$$[T_I, T_J; T_K] = f_{IJK}{}^{L} T_L, \tag{1}$$

where ${f_{IJK}}^L = {f_{JIK}}^L$ are called the structure constants of the 3-bracket. The set of generators T_I $(I = 1, \cdots 2L)$ are required to satisfy the fundamental identity (FI):

$$[T_I, T_J; [T_M, T_N; T_K]] = [[T_I, T_J; T_M], T_N; T_K] + [T_M, [T_I, T_J; T_N]; T_K] + [T_M, T_N; [T_I, T_J; T_K]]. \tag{2}$$

The global transformation of a field X valued in this 3-algebra ($X = X^K T_K$) is defined as [6]:

$$\delta_{\tilde{\Lambda}} X = \Lambda^{IJ}[T_I, T_J; X], \tag{3}$$

where the parameter Λ^{IJ} is hermitian. The transform (3) is required to preserve both the anti-symmetric form $\omega(X, Y) = \omega_{IJ} X^I Y^J$, (We use ω_{IJ} and its inverse ω^{IJ} to lower and raise 3-algebra indices, respectively.) and the Hermitian form $h(X, Y) = X^{*I} Y^I$ simultaneously [14]:

$$\delta_{\tilde{\Lambda}} \omega(X, Y) = \delta_{\tilde{\Lambda}} h(X, Y) = 0. \tag{4}$$

Imposing the reality conditions on the parameter and the antisymmetric form,

$$\Lambda^{*IJ} = \omega_{IK}\omega_{JL}\Lambda^{LK}, \quad \omega^*_{IJ} = \omega^{IK}\omega^{JL}\omega_{KL}, \tag{5}$$

Eqs. (4) imply that the structure constants must satisfy the reality and symmetry conditions

$$f_{IJKL} = f_{IJLK}, \quad f^*_{IJKL} = \omega^{IM}\omega^{JN}\omega^{KO}\omega^{LP} f_{NMPO} = f^{JILK}. \tag{6}$$

The 3-algebra defined by Eq. (1) $\sim$ (4) is called a symplectic 3-algebra.

B. A Review of the $\mathcal{N} = 5, 6, 8$ Theories Based on 3-Algebras

1. $\mathcal{N}$=5 Theory Based on 3-Algebra

The $\mathcal{N} = 5$ Lagrangian constructed by using the symplectic 3-algebra is given by [15, 16]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} = & \frac{1}{2}(-D_\mu \bar{Z}^A_I D^\mu Z^I_A + i\bar{\psi}^A_I \gamma_\mu D^\mu \psi^I_A) \\ & -\frac{i}{2}\omega^{AB}\omega^{CD} f_{IJKL}(Z^I_A Z^K_B \psi^J_C \psi^L_D - 2 Z^I_A Z^K_D \psi^J_C \psi^L_B) \\ & +\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}(f_{IJKL} A^{IJ}_\mu \partial_\nu A^{KL}_\lambda + \frac{2}{3} {f_{IJK}}^O f_{OLMN} A^{IJ}_\mu A^{KL}_\nu A^{MN}_\lambda) \\ & +\frac{1}{60}(2 {f_{IJK}}^O f_{OLMN} - 9 {f_{KLI}}^O f_{ONMJ} + 2 {f_{IJL}}^O f_{OKMN}) Z^N_A Z^{AI} Z^J_B Z^{BK} Z^L_C Z^{CM}.\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The matter fields satisfy the following reality conditions

$$\bar{Z}^A_I = \omega^{AB}\omega_{IJ}Z^J_B, \quad \psi^A_I = \omega^{AB}\omega_{IJ}\psi^J_B, \tag{8}$$

where A is an $Sp(4)$ R-symmetry index, and ω^{AB} is the $Sp(4)$ invariant antisymmetric tensor. The covariant derivative is defined as

$$D_\mu Z^A_I = \partial_\mu Z^A_I - \tilde{A}_\mu{}^J{}_I Z^A_J, \tag{9}$$

where the gauge field $\tilde{A}_\mu{}^J{}_I \equiv A^{KL}_\mu f_{KL}{}^J{}_I$ is anti-hermitian. The $\mathcal{N} = 5$ supersymmetry transformations are given by [15, 16]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta Z^I_A &= i\epsilon_A{}^{B\alpha}\psi^I_{B\alpha},\\
\delta\psi^I_{A\alpha} &= (\gamma^\mu)_\alpha{}^\beta D_\mu Z^I_B\epsilon^B{}_{A\beta} + \frac{1}{3}f^I{}_{JKL}\omega^{BC}Z^J_B Z^K_C Z^L_D\epsilon^D{}_{A\alpha} - \frac{2}{3}f^I{}_{JKL}\omega^{BD}Z^J_C Z^K_D Z^L_A\epsilon^C{}_{B\alpha},\\
\delta\tilde{A}_\mu{}^K{}_L &= i\epsilon^{AB\alpha}(\gamma_\mu)_\alpha{}^\beta\psi^J_{B\beta}Z^I_A f_{IJ}{}^K{}_L,
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where the parameter ϵ^{AB} is antisymmetric in AB, satisfying

$$\omega_{AB}\epsilon^{AB} = 0, \quad \epsilon^*_{AB} = \omega^{AC}\omega^{BD}\epsilon_{CD}.$$

To close the Poincare superalgebra, the structure constants must satisfy an additional constraint equation

$$f_{(IJK)L} = 0. \tag{11}$$

2. $\mathcal{N}$=6 Theory Based on 3-Algebra

The $\mathcal{N} = 5$ supersymmetry can be enhanced to $\mathcal{N} = 6$ by decomposing the $\mathcal{N} = 5$ fields and the symplectic 3-algebra properly [15]. The reality conditions (8) imply that the matter fields furnish a pseudo-real representation of the gauge group. If we decompose this representation as a direct sum of a complex representation and its complex conjugate representation, then the $Sp(4)$ R-symmetry can be promoted to $SU(4)$, and the $\mathcal{N} = 5$ supersymmetry can be enhanced to $\mathcal{N} = 6$ [18]. Specifically, we decompose an $\mathcal{N} = 5$ scalar field into a direct summation of an $\mathcal{N} = 6$ scalar field Z and its complex conjugate $\bar{Z}$ [18]:

$$Z^I_A = Z^{a\alpha}_A = \bar{Z}^a_A\delta_{1\alpha} + \omega_{AB}Z^B_a\delta_{2\alpha}, \tag{12}$$

where $a = 1, \cdots, L$, and $\alpha = 1, 2$. (Here α is *not* an index of a spacetime spinor. We hope this will not cause any confusion.) Similarly, we have the following decompositions for the fermionic and gauge fields:

$$\psi^I_A = \psi^{a\alpha}_A = \omega_{AB}\psi^{Ba}\delta_{1\alpha} - \psi_{Aa}\delta_{2\alpha}, \quad \tilde{A}_\mu{}^I{}_J = \tilde{A}_\mu{}^{a\alpha}{}_{b\beta} = \tilde{A}_\mu{}^a{}_b\delta_{1\alpha}\delta_{1\beta} - \tilde{A}_\mu{}^b{}_a\delta_{2\alpha}\delta_{2\beta}, \tag{13}$$

where $\tilde{A}_\mu{}^a{}_b \equiv A_\mu{}^d{}_c f^{ac}{}_{db}$ is an anti-hermitian $\mathcal{N} = 6$ gauge field, with $f^{ac}{}_{db}$ the structure constants of the hermitian 3-algebra. The $\mathcal{N} = 6$ fields satisfy the reality conditions

$$Z^{*A}_a = \bar{Z}^a_A, \quad \psi^{*Aa} = \psi_{Aa}, \quad \tilde{A}^{*}_\mu{}^a{}_b = -\tilde{A}_\mu{}^b{}_a. \tag{14}$$

To be consistent with the reality conditions of the $\mathcal{N} = 6$ matter fields, we must decompose the antisymmetric tensor ω_{IJ} as

$$\omega_{IJ} = \omega_{a\alpha,b\beta} = \delta_a{}^b\delta_{1\alpha}\delta_{2\beta} - \delta^a{}_b\delta_{2\alpha}\delta_{1\beta}. \tag{15}$$

The decomposition of the gauge field (see the second equation of (13)) follows from the decomposition of the structure constants given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{IJKL} = f_{a\alpha,b\beta,c\gamma,d\delta} = {} & f^{ac}{}_{db}\delta_{2\alpha}\delta_{1\beta}\delta_{2\gamma}\delta_{1\delta} + f^{ad}{}_{cb}\delta_{2\alpha}\delta_{1\beta}\delta_{1\gamma}\delta_{2\delta} \\ & + f^{bc}{}_{da}\delta_{1\alpha}\delta_{2\beta}\delta_{2\gamma}\delta_{1\delta} + f^{bd}{}_{ca}\delta_{1\alpha}\delta_{2\beta}\delta_{1\gamma}\delta_{2\delta}, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

combined with the decomposition of A^{IJ}_μ given by

$$A^{IJ}_\mu = A^{a\alpha,b\beta}_\mu = -\frac{1}{2}(A_\mu{}^a{}_b\delta_{1\alpha}\delta_{2\beta} + A_\mu{}^b{}_a\delta_{2\alpha}\delta_{1\beta}). \tag{17}$$

The generator T_I is decomposed into

$$T_I = T_{a\alpha} = \bar{t}_a\delta_{1\alpha} - t^a\delta_{2\alpha}, \tag{18}$$

where t^a is a generator of the ($\mathcal{N} = 6$) hermitian 3-algebra, and $\bar{t}_a \equiv t^{*a}$ is its complex conjugate. With these decompositions, the fundamental identity (2) reduces to

$$f^{fc}{}_{dg}f^{ag}{}_{eb} - f^{af}{}_{gb}f^{gc}{}_{de} + f^{cf}{}_{eg}f^{ag}{}_{db} - f^{ac}{}_{gb}f^{gf}{}_{ed} = 0. \tag{19}$$

Here the 3-bracket of the ($\mathcal{N} = 6$) hermitian 3-algebra is defined as

$$[t^a, t^c; \bar{t}_b] = f^{ac}{}_{bd}t^d. \tag{20}$$

Also the constraint condition $f_{(IJK)L} = 0$ and the reality condition imposed on the structure constants reduce to

$$f^{ab}{}_{cd} = -f^{ba}{}_{cd}, \quad f^{*ab}{}_{cd} = f^{cd}{}_{ab}. \tag{21}$$

Now Eq. (16) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
[T_I, T_J; T_K] &= [T_{a\alpha}, T_{b\beta}; T_{c\gamma}] \\
&= [t^a, t^c; \bar{t}_b]\delta_{2\alpha}\delta_{1\beta}\delta_{2\gamma} + [t^a, t^c; \bar{t}_b]^*\delta_{1\alpha}\delta_{2\beta}\delta_{1\gamma} \\
&\quad +[t^b, t^c; \bar{t}_a]\delta_{1\alpha}\delta_{2\beta}\delta_{2\gamma} + [t^b, t^c; \bar{t}_a]^*\delta_{2\alpha}\delta_{1\beta}\delta_{1\gamma}.
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

The hermitian 3-algebra defined by Eqs. (19) $\sim$ (21) is nothing but the 3-algebra used by BL to construct the general $\mathcal{N} = 6$ theory [13].

Substituting the decompositions of the fields and the structure constants into the $\mathcal{N} = 5$ lagrangian (7) reproduces the $\mathcal{N} = 6$ Lagrangian in Ref. [13]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} = &-D_\mu \bar{Z}^a_A D^\mu Z^A_a - i\bar{\psi}^{Aa}\gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi_{Aa} \\
&-if^{ab}{}_{cd}\bar{\psi}^{Ad}\psi_{Aa} Z^B_b \bar{Z}^c_B + 2if^{ab}{}_{cd}\bar{\psi}^{Ad}\psi_{Ba} Z^B_b \bar{Z}^c_A \\
&-\frac{i}{2}\varepsilon_{ABCD} f^{ab}{}_{cd}\bar{\psi}^{Ac}\psi^{Bd} Z^C_a Z^D_b - \frac{i}{2}\varepsilon^{ABCD} f^{cd}{}_{ab}\bar{\psi}_{Ac}\psi_{Bd}\bar{Z}^a_C \bar{Z}^b_D \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}(f^{ab}{}_{cd} A_\mu{}^c{}_b \partial_\nu A_\lambda{}^d{}_a + \frac{2}{3} f^{ac}{}_{dg} f^{ge}{}_{fb} A_\mu{}^b{}_a A_\nu{}^d{}_c A_\lambda{}^f{}_e) \\
&-\frac{2}{3}(f^{ab}{}_{cd} f^{ed}{}_{fg} - \frac{1}{2} f^{eb}{}_{cd} f^{ad}{}_{fg})\bar{Z}^c_A Z^A_e \bar{Z}^f_B Z^B_a \bar{Z}^g_D Z^D_b.
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

And the $\mathcal{N} = 6$ SUSY transformation law reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta Z^A_d &= -i\bar{\epsilon}^{AB}\psi_{Bd} \\
\delta\psi_{Bd} &= \gamma^\mu D_\mu Z^A_d \epsilon_{AB} + f^{ab}{}_{cd} Z^C_a Z^A_b \bar{Z}^c_C \epsilon_{AB} + f^{ab}{}_{cd} Z^C_a Z^D_b \bar{Z}^c_B \epsilon_{CD} \\
\delta\tilde{A}_\mu{}^c{}_d &= -i\bar{\epsilon}_{AB}\gamma_\mu Z^A_a \psi^{Bb} f^{ca}{}_{bd} + i\bar{\epsilon}^{AB}\gamma_\mu \bar{Z}^a_A \psi_{Bb} f^{cb}{}_{ad}.
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Here the SUSY transformation parameters ϵ_{AB} satisfy

$$\epsilon_{AB} = -\epsilon_{BA}, \quad \epsilon^*_{AB} = \epsilon^{AB} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ABCD}\epsilon_{CD}. \tag{25}$$

3. $\mathcal{N}$=8 Theory Based on 3-Algebra

If the inner product becomes the standard inner product in the Euclidian space

$$h(X, Y) = X_a Y_a \quad \text{or} \quad h(t^a, t^b) = \delta^{ab}, \tag{26}$$

then there is no difference between a lower index a and an upper index a, i.e., $\bar{t}_a = \bar{t}^a$. As a result, the 3-bracket (20) becomes

$$[t^a, t^c, \bar{t}^b] = f^{acb}{}_d t^d. \tag{27}$$

If the first 3 indices of $f^{acb}{}_d$ are antisymmetric, then Eq. (27) becomes the famous Nambu 3-bracket; and Eqs. (21) imply that

$$f^{abcd} \equiv \delta^{de} f^{abc}{}_e \tag{28}$$

are *totally antisymmetric*. Now the FI (19) can be converted into

$$f^{afe}{}_g f^{cdg}{}_b - f^{cda}{}_g f^{gfe}{}_b - f^{cdf}{}_g f^{age}{}_b - f^{cde}{}_g f^{afg}{}_b = 0. \tag{29}$$

The 3-algebra defined by Eq. (27) $\sim$ (29) is nothing but the Nambu 3-algebra. It was proved that the only non-trivial possibility is that $f^{abcd} = \varepsilon^{abcd}$ (up to a constant). Here ε^{abcd} is the familiar Levi-Civita tensor. And the gauge group generated by the Nambu 3-algebra is $SO(4)$ [19–21]. In Ref. [12], it has been demonstrated explicitly that the $\mathcal{N} = 6$ supersymmetry is promoted to $\mathcal{N} = 8$ if the structure constants $f^{abcd} \propto \varepsilon^{abcd}$. So substituting Eq. (28) into (23) gives the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ BLG theory.

III. $\mathcal{N}$=6, 8 THEORIES IN TERMS OF THE BOSONIC PARTS OF SUPERALGEBRAS

In this section, we first try to find a superalgebra which can be used to realize the hermitian 3-algebra and the Nambu 3-algebra. We then derive the ordinary Lie algebra constructions of the $\mathcal{N} = 6, 8$ theories by using the superalgebra realization of 3-algebras.

A. $\mathcal{N} = 6$ Theories in Terms of the Bosonic Parts of Superalgebras

1. *General $\mathcal{N} = 6$ Theory*

In this section, we determine the superalgebra which can be used to realize the hermitian 3-algebra and the Nambu 3-algebra, and classify the gauge groups of the $\mathcal{N} = 6$ theory.

Recall that we used the superalgebra

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[M^m, M^n] = C^{mn}{}_p M^p, \\
&[M^m, Q_I] = -\tau^m_{IJ}\omega^{JK} Q_K, \\
&\{Q_I, Q_J\} = \tau^m_{IJ} k_{mn} M^n. \qquad (30)
\end{aligned}
$$

to realize the symplectic 3-algebra [16]. Here ω^{JK} is an invariant antisymmetric tensor, and k_{mn} an invariant quadratic form. We connected the symplectic 3-algebra with the above superalgebra by identifying the 3-algebra generators T_I with the fermionic generators Q_I:

$$T_I \doteq Q_I, \qquad (31)$$

and by presenting the 3-bracket as a double graded bracket [16], i.e.,

$$[T_I, T_J; T_K] \doteq [\{Q_I, Q_J\}, Q_K] = k_{mn}\tau^m_{IJ}\tau^{n}_{K}{}^{L} Q_L. \qquad (32)$$

In this realization, the structure constants of the 3-algebra are just the structure constants of the double graded commutator, i.e.,

$$f_{IJKL} = k_{mn}\tau^m_{IJ}\tau^n_{KL}. \qquad (33)$$

The right hand side of (33) was first introduced in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ GW theory to construct the superspace coupling [25]; and its property $k_{mn}\tau^m_{(IJ}\tau^n_{K)L} = 0$, implied by the $Q_I Q_J Q_K$ Jacobi identity, strongly hints that one can construct the 3-bracket in terms of the double graded commutator (32) [16]. With Eq. (31), Eq. (18) becomes

$$T_I \doteq Q_I = Q_{a\alpha} = \bar{Q}_a\delta_{1\alpha} - Q^a\delta_{2\alpha}. \qquad (34)$$

Recall that Q_I furnish a pseudo-real (quaternion) representation of the bosonic part of the superalgebra (30) [16], and we decompose this pseudo-real representation into a complex representation and its complex conjugate representation for promoting the $\mathcal{N} = 5$ supersymmetry to $\mathcal{N} = 6$. So, with the decomposition (34), if the fermionic generators Q^a furnish a complex representation of the bosonic part of (30), then $\bar{Q}_a$ must furnish a complex conjugate representation of the bosonic part of (30). Namely, we must have

$$[M^m, Q^a] = -\tau^{ma}{}_b Q^b \quad \text{and} \quad [M^m, \bar{Q}_a] = \tau^{mb}{}_a \bar{Q}_b, \qquad (35)$$

where $\tau^{ma}{}_b$ is anti-hermitian, i.e.,

$$\tau^{*ma}{}_b = -\tau^{mb}{}_a. \qquad (36)$$

Substituting

$$Q_I = \bar{Q}_a \delta_{1\alpha} - Q^a \delta_{2\alpha} \tag{37}$$

into the LHS of the second equation of (30) gives

$$[M^m, \bar{Q}_a \delta_{1\alpha} - Q^a \delta_{2\alpha}] = \tau^{mb}{}_a \bar{Q}_b \delta_{1\alpha} + \tau^{ma}{}_b Q^b_{2\alpha}. \tag{38}$$

Comparing the RHS of the above equation with the RHS of the second equation of (30), we obtain

$$\tau^{mJ}{}_I = \tau^{mb}{}_a \delta_{1\alpha}\delta_{1\beta} - \tau^{ma}{}_b \delta_{2\alpha}\delta_{2\beta}. \tag{39}$$

By (36), the RHS is a direct sum of $\tau^{mb}{}_a$ and its complex conjugate. So the pseudo-real representation is indeed decomposed into a complex representation and its complex conjugate representation. Substituting (37) and (39) into the LHS and RHS of the third equation of (30), respectively, we obtain

$$\{\bar{Q}_a \delta_{1\alpha} - Q^a \delta_{2\alpha}, \bar{Q}_b \delta_{1\beta} - Q^b \delta_{2\beta}\} = -(\tau^{mb}{}_a k_{mn} M^n \delta_{1\alpha}\delta_{2\beta} + \tau^{ma}{}_b k_{mn} M^n \delta_{2\alpha}\delta_{1\beta}), \tag{40}$$

where we have used Eq. (15). The anticommutators can be easily read off from the above equation:

$$\{Q^b, \bar{Q}_a\} = \tau^{mb}{}_a k_{mn} M^n, \quad \{\bar{Q}_a, \bar{Q}_b\} = \{Q^a, Q^b\} = 0. \tag{41}$$

In summary, the superalgebra used to realize the $\mathcal{N} = 6$ hermitian 3-algebra is the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[M^m, M^n] = C^{mn}{}_s M^s, \\
&[M^m, Q^a] = -\tau^{ma}{}_b Q^b, \quad [M^m, \bar{Q}_a] = \tau^{mb}{}_a \bar{Q}_b, \\
&\{Q^a, \bar{Q}_b\} = \tau^{ma}{}_b k_{mn} M^n, \quad \{\bar{Q}_a, \bar{Q}_b\} = \{Q^a, Q^b\} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

In this way, we rederive the above superalgebra by decomposing the superalgebra (30) properly. The superalgebra used to realize construct the Nambu 3-algebra must also take the form of (42), since the Nambu 3-algebra is a special case of the $\mathcal{N} = 6$ hermitian 3-algebra. The superalgebras $OSp(2|2N)$ and $U(M|N)$ (or its cousins $SU(M|N)$ and $PSU(M|N)$) take the form of (42); their commutation relations are given in Appendix B. Later we will see that (42) indeed can be used to realize the 3-algebras in the $\mathcal{N} = 6, 8$ theory. Namely, the superalgebra realization of the 3-algebras can provides a unified framework for classifying the gauge groups of the $\mathcal{N} = 5, 6, 8$ theories based on 3-algebras, since (42) is decomposed from (30).

With these decompositions, the double graded commutator

$$[\{Q_I, Q_J\}, Q_K] = k_{mn}\tau^m_{IJ}\tau^{n\,L}_{K} Q_L \tag{43}$$

is decomposed into two sets:

$$[\{Q^b, \bar{Q}_a\}, Q^c] = -k_{mn}\tau^{mb}{}_a\tau^{nc}{}_d Q^d, \quad [\{Q^a, \bar{Q}_b\}, \bar{Q}_c] = k_{mn}\tau^{ma}{}_b\tau^{nd}{}_c\bar{Q}_d. \tag{44}$$

However, their structure constants are related by a reality condition (see Eqs. (48) and (53)). So we need only to consider the first equation. Using Eqs. (32) and (34), and comparing the decomposition of (43) with (22), we are led to the following equations:

$$[t^b, t^c; \bar{t}_a] \doteq [\{Q^b, \bar{Q}_a\}, Q^c] = -k_{mn}\tau^{mb}{}_a\tau^{nc}{}_d Q^d, \tag{45}$$

$$[t^b, t^c; \bar{t}_a]^* \doteq -[\{Q^a, \bar{Q}_b\}, \bar{Q}_c] = -k_{mn}\tau^{ma}{}_b\tau^{nd}{}_c\bar{Q}_d. \tag{46}$$

where the LHS of the first equation is the 3-bracket of the hermitian 3-algebra, and t^a are the generators of the hermitian 3-algebra (see section II B 2). Here we have used the superalgebra realization of the hermitian 3-algebra:

$$t^a \doteq Q^a, \quad \bar{t}_a \doteq \bar{Q}_a. \tag{47}$$

The structure constants can be read off immediately from (46):

$$f^{bc}{}_{ad} = -k_{mn}\tau^{mb}{}_a\tau^{nc}{}_d. \tag{48}$$

It is straightforward to verify that the above tensor product is a solution of the FI (19) of the hermitian 3-algebra (for convenience, we cite it here):

$$f^{fc}{}_{dg}f^{ag}{}_{eb} - f^{af}{}_{gb}f^{gc}{}_{de} + f^{cf}{}_{eg}f^{ag}{}_{db} - f^{ac}{}_{gb}f^{gf}{}_{ed} = 0. \tag{49}$$

The solution (48) was first discovered by BL [13], using a different approach. Similarly, the $Q_IQ_JQ_K$ Jacobi identity is decomposed into two sets: the $Q^bQ^c\bar{Q}_a$ Jacobi identity and the $\bar{Q}_b\bar{Q}_cQ^a$ Jacobi identity. Let us examine the $Q^bQ^c\bar{Q}_a$ Jacobi identity:

$$[\{Q^b, \bar{Q}_a\}, Q^c] + [\{\bar{Q}_a\, Q^c\}, Q^b] + [\{Q^c, Q^b\}, \bar{Q}_a] = 0. \tag{50}$$

By $\{Q^c, Q^b\} = 0$, the last term of the LHS vanishes. The equation for the remaining two terms implies that

$$k_{mn}\tau^{mb}{}_a\tau^{nc}{}_d + k_{mn}\tau^{mc}{}_a\tau^{nb}{}_d = 0. \tag{51}$$

Namely, the structure constants $f^{bc}{}_{ad}$ are antisymmetric in the first two indices :

$$f^{bc}{}_{ad} = -f^{cb}{}_{ad}. \tag{52}$$

Also, the reality condition (36) implies that the structure constants satisfy the reality condition:

$$f^{*ab}{}_{cd} = f^{cd}{}_{ab}. \tag{53}$$

Eqs (52) and (53) are nothing but the two equations in (21).

Here we would like to demonstrate that the FI (49), satisfied by the structure constants, is equivalent to the MMQ or $MM\bar{Q}$ Jacobi identity of the superalgebra (42). Substituting (34) into the FI (2), the later is decomposed into eight sets; one of them reads

$$\begin{aligned} &[\{\bar{Q}_a, Q^b\}, [\{\bar{Q}_e, Q^f\}, Q^c]] \\ &= [\{[\{\bar{Q}_a, Q^b\}\bar{Q}_e], Q^f\}, Q^c] + [\{\bar{Q}_e, [\{\bar{Q}_a, Q^b\}, Q^f]\}, Q^c] + [\{\bar{Q}_e, Q^f\}, [\{\bar{Q}_a, Q^b\}, Q^c]]. \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Substituting (44) into this equation shows that it precisely coincides with the FI (49). The rest (seven) sets can be also converted into the FI (49). So it is sufficient to examine Eq. (54). On the other hand, by using the superalgebra (42), one can convert Eq. (54) into the following equation:

$$\tau^{mb}{}_a \tau^{nf}{}_e([M_n, [M_m, Q^c]] - [M_m, [M_n, Q^c]] + [[M_m, M_n], Q^c]) = 0, \tag{55}$$

which is the MMQ Jacobi identity of the superalgebra (42). With Q^c replaced by $\bar{Q}_c$, Eq. (54) becomes another set FI decomposed from (2). It can be converted into $MM\bar{Q}$ Jacobi identity of (42). Therefore, the FI (49) is indeed equivalent to the MMQ or $MM\bar{Q}$ Jacobi identity of the superalgebra (42).

Now consider the basic definition of the transformation

$$\delta_{\tilde{\Lambda}} X^a = \Lambda^c{}_d f^{ad}{}_{cb} X^b. \tag{56}$$

Substituting (48) into the above equation gives

$$\delta_{\tilde{\Lambda}} X^a = \Lambda^c{}_d k_{mn} \tau^{md}{}_c \tau^{na}{}_b X^b. \tag{57}$$

From the Lie group point of view, this is a gauge transformation by a parameter $\hat{\Lambda}^m \equiv \Lambda^c{}_d \tau^{md}{}_c$. On the other hand, by the MMQ Jacobi identity of (42), we learn that $\tau^{na}{}_b$ is a matrix representation of the bosonic generator M^n, i.e.,

$$[\tau^m, \tau^n]^a{}_b = C^{mn}{}_p \tau^{pa}{}_b. \tag{58}$$

This matrix representation is furnished by the fermionic generators of (42) (see the second line of (42)). Therefore, the Lie algebra of the gauge group generated by the 3-algebra is just the bosonic subalgebra of (42). And the representation of the matter fields is determined by the fermionic generators of (42). The quadratic form k_{mn} plays a fundamental role in our construction, since it appears both in (48) and (57).

With the solution for the structure constants of the hermitian 3-algebra

$$f^{ab}{}_{cd} = k_{mn}\tau^{ma}{}_{d}\tau^{nb}{}_{c}, \quad [\tau^m, \tau^n]^a{}_b = C^{mn}{}_p\tau^{pa}{}_b, \tag{59}$$

we can rewrite the gauge field as

$$\tilde{A}_\mu{}^a{}_b = A_\mu{}^d{}_c f^{ac}{}_{db} = A_\mu{}^d{}_c k_{mn}\tau^{na}{}_b\tau^{mc}{}_d \equiv \hat{A}^m_\mu k_{mn}\tau^{na}{}_b. \tag{60}$$

Following Ref. [25], we define the $\mathcal{N} = 6$ 'momentum map' and 'current' operators as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu^{mA}{}_B \equiv \tau^{ma}{}_b Z^A_a \bar{Z}^b_B, \quad \mu^{mnA}{}_B \equiv \{\tau^m, \tau^n\}^a{}_b Z^A_a \bar{Z}^b_B,\\
&j^{mAB} \equiv \tau^{ma}{}_b Z^A_a \psi^{bB}, \quad \bar{j}^m_{AB} \equiv \tau^{mb}{}_a \bar{Z}^a_A \psi_{bB}.
\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

Substituting the (59) and (60) into the Lagrangian (23) and the SUSY law (24) gives the ordinary Lie algebra construction of the $\mathcal{N} = 6$ Theory. Here the $\mathcal{N} = 6$ Lagrangian reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} = & -D_\mu \bar{Z}^a_A D^\mu Z^A_a - i\bar{\psi}^{Aa}\gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi_{Aa}\\
& -\frac{i}{2}k_{mn}(-2j^{mAB}\bar{j}^n_{AB} + 4j^{mAB}\bar{j}^n_{BA} + \varepsilon_{ABCD}j^{mAB}j^{nCD} + \varepsilon^{ABCD}\bar{j}^m_{AB}\bar{j}^n_{CD})\\
& +\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}(k_{mn}\hat{A}^m_\mu\partial_\nu\hat{A}^n_\lambda + \frac{1}{3}\tilde{C}_{mnp}\hat{A}^m_\mu\hat{A}^n_\nu\hat{A}^p_\lambda)\\
& +\frac{1}{6}\tilde{C}_{mnp}\mu^{mA}{}_B\mu^{nB}{}_C\mu^{pC}{}_A + \frac{1}{2}\mu^{mnA}{}_B\tilde{\mu}^B_{mC}\tilde{\mu}^C_{n}{}_A,
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

where

$$\tilde{C}_{mnp} \equiv k_{ms}k_{nq}C^{sq}{}_p, \quad \tilde{\mu}^B_{mC} \equiv k_{mn}\mu^{nB}{}_C. \tag{63}$$

In deriving the Lagrangian, we have used the identity $-\varepsilon^{ABCD} = \omega^{AB}\omega^{CD} - \omega^{AC}\omega^{BD} + \omega^{AD}\omega^{BC}$, whose LHS differs a minus sign from the one in Ref. [18]. The $\mathcal{N} = 6$ SUSY transformations are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta Z^A_d &= -i\bar{\epsilon}^{AB}\psi_{Bd}\\
\delta\psi_{Bd} &= \gamma^\mu D_\mu Z^A_d \epsilon_{AB} + \tilde{\mu}^A_{mC}\tau^{ma}{}_d Z^C_a \epsilon_{AB} + \tilde{\mu}^D_{mB}\tau^{ma}{}_d Z^C_a \epsilon_{CD}\\
\delta\hat{A}^m_\mu &= -i\bar{\epsilon}_{AB}\gamma_\mu j^{mAB} + i\bar{\epsilon}^{AB}\gamma_\mu \bar{j}^m_{AB}.
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

The parameters ϵ_{AB} satisfy

$$\epsilon_{AB} = -\epsilon_{BA}, \quad \epsilon^{*}_{AB} = \epsilon^{AB} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ABCD}\epsilon_{CD}. \tag{65}$$

The Lagrangian (62) and the supersymmetry transformation law (64) are in agreement with the ones constructed directly in an ordinary Lie algebra approach [18].

The bosonic parts of the superalgebras $OSp(2|2N)$ and $U(M|N)$ (or its cousins $SU(M|N)$ and $PSU(M|N)$) can be selected as the Lie algebras of the gauge groups of the $\mathcal{N} = 6$ Theory (see (42)). In particular, if the superalgebra is $PSU(2|2)$, then (45) becomes the Nambu bracket, and the $\mathcal{N} = 6$ supersymmetry gets enhanced to $\mathcal{N} = 8$. This will be the topic of section III B.

2. $OSp(2|2N)$

If we specify the superalgebra (42) as $OSp(2|2N)$ (the commutation relations of $OSp(2|2N)$ are given by (B4)), the double graded commutator (45) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}[\{Q_{a-}, Q_{c+}\}, Q_{b-}] &= f_{a-,b-,c+,d+}Q^{d+} \\ &= k[(\omega_{ab}\omega_{cd} - \omega_{ad}\omega_{bc})h_{-+}h_{-+} - (\omega_{ac}\epsilon_{-+})(\omega_{bd}\epsilon_{-+})]Q^{d+}.\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

(In the Lagrangian (23), the index a runs from 1 to L. Here we split a into two indices: $a \to a\pm$, by setting $L = 4N$. We hope this will not cause any confusion.) Here $a, b = 1, 2, \cdots, 2N$ are the $Sp(2N)$ indices while $+, -$ the $SO(2)$ indices. We use the gauge invariant antisymmetric tensor $\omega^{a+,b-} \equiv \omega^{ab}h^{+-}$ to raise the first two pairs of indices of the structure constants in (66):

$$f^{a+b+}{}_{c+d+} = -k[(\omega^{ab}\omega_{cd} + \delta^{a}{}_{d}\delta^{b}{}_{c})\delta^{+}{}_{+}\delta^{+}{}_{+} + (\delta^{a}{}_{c})(-i\delta^{+}{}_{+})(\delta^{b}{}_{d})(-i\delta^{+}{}_{+})]. \tag{67}$$

Suppressing the $SO(2)$ indices gives

$$f^{ab}{}_{cd} = -k(\omega^{ab}\omega_{cd} + \delta^{a}{}_{d}\delta^{b}{}_{c} - \delta^{a}{}_{c}\delta^{b}{}_{d}), \tag{68}$$

which are precisely the same as that of our previous paper [14] up to an unimportant overall sign. The above specified structure constants have the correct symmetry properties and satisfy the reality condition. Substituting (68) into (23) and (24) give the $\mathcal{N} = 6, Sp(2N) \times U(1)$ theory [14]. This theory can be derived in an alternative way [18]: one can first read off the representation $\tau^{ma+}{}_{b+}$, the invariant quadratic form k_{mn} and the structure constants $C^{mn}{}_p$ from the superalgebra (B4), then plug them into the Lagrangian (62) and the SUSY transformations (64).

3. $U(M|N)$

If we specify the superalgebra (42) as $U(M|N)$ (the commutation relations of $U(M|N)$ are given by (B5)), the double graded commutator (45) becomes

$$[\{Q_u{}^{u'}, \bar{Q}_{v'}{}^{v}\}, Q_w{}^{w'}] = k(\delta_{v'}{}^{u'}\delta_w{}^{v}Q_u{}^{w'} - \delta_{v'}{}^{w'}\delta_u{}^{v}Q_w{}^{u'}). \tag{69}$$

Here we have set $Q_a = Q_u{}^{u'}$, where $u = 1, \cdots, M$ is a fundamental index of $U(M)$, and $u' = 1, \cdots, N$ an anti-fundamental index of $U(N)$. The structure constants can be easily read off

$$f^{ab}{}_{cd} = f_u{}^{u'}{}_w{}^{w'}{}_{,v'}{}^{v}{}_{t'}{}^{t} = k(\delta_{v'}{}^{u'}\delta_{t'}{}^{w'}\delta_w{}^{v}\delta_u{}^{t} - \delta_{t'}{}^{u'}\delta_{v'}{}^{w'}\delta_u{}^{v}\delta_w{}^{t}). \tag{70}$$

The above structure constants were first discovered by BL [13], by using the following matrix realization of the hermitian 3-algebra:

$$[X, Y; \bar{Z}]_{u'}{}^{u} = -k(X\bar{Z}Y - Y\bar{Z}X)_{u'}{}^{u}. \tag{71}$$

Here $X_{u'}{}^{v}$ is an $N \times M$ matrix, with $\bar{X}_v{}^{u'}$ its hermitian conjugate. The right hand side of (71) is the ordinary matrix multiplication. Writing X as $X = X_{u'}{}^{v}B_v{}^{u'}$, with $B_v{}^{u'}$ a set of basis matrices, then the 3-bracket (71) is equivalent to

$$[B_u{}^{u'}, B_w{}^{w'}; \bar{B}_{v'}{}^{v}] = k(\delta_{v'}{}^{u'}\delta_w{}^{v}B_u{}^{w'} - \delta_{v'}{}^{w'}\delta_u{}^{v}B_w{}^{u'}). \tag{72}$$

Comparing the above equation with (69), we see that the structure constants specified by (71) are precisely the same as (70).

Substituting (70) into (23) and (24) give the $\mathcal{N} = 6, U(M) \times U(N)$ theory [13]. In the special case of $M = N$, it becomes the well-known ABJM theory [26], which has been conjectured to be the dual gauge theory of the low energy limit of N M2-branes probing a $\mathbf{C}^4/\mathbf{Z}_k$ singularity. In the limit of $N \to \infty$, the ABJM theory is dual to the M-theory on $AdS_4 \times S^7/\mathbf{Z}_k$ [26].

For a more mathematical approach to the relation between the superalgebra and the $\mathcal{N} = 6$ hermitian 3-algebra, see Ref. [24].

B. $\mathcal{N}=8$ Theory in Terms of the Bosonic Part of $PSU(2|2)$

In Ref. [11, 12], the Nambu 3-algebra was represented in terms of a set of $SU(2)\times SU(2)$ σ-matrices. In this section, we show explicitly that the Nambu 3-algebra can be also realized in term of $PSU(2|2)$; and we construct the $\mathcal{N}=8$ BLG theory by using several different ways. The commutation rules of $PSU(2|2)$ are the following

$$\begin{aligned}
&[M_{\alpha\beta}, M_{\gamma\delta}] = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_{\alpha\gamma}M_{\beta\delta} + \epsilon_{\beta\gamma}M_{\alpha\delta} + \epsilon_{\alpha\delta}M_{\beta\gamma} + \epsilon_{\beta\delta}M_{\alpha\gamma}),\\
&[M_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}, M_{\dot\gamma\dot\delta}] = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\gamma}M_{\dot\beta\dot\delta} + \epsilon_{\dot\beta\dot\gamma}M_{\dot\alpha\dot\delta} + \epsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\delta}M_{\dot\beta\dot\gamma} + \epsilon_{\dot\beta\dot\delta}M_{\dot\alpha\dot\gamma}),\\
&[M_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma}, Q_{\beta}{}^{\dot\beta}] = \delta_{\beta}{}^{\gamma}Q_{\alpha}{}^{\dot\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma}Q_{\beta}{}^{\dot\beta}, \quad [M_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma}, \bar{Q}_{\dot\beta}{}^{\beta}] = -\delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\bar{Q}_{\dot\beta}{}^{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma}\bar{Q}_{\dot\beta}{}^{\beta},\\
&[M_{\dot\delta}{}^{\dot\beta}, Q_{\alpha}{}^{\dot\gamma}] = -\delta_{\dot\delta}{}^{\dot\gamma}Q_{\alpha}{}^{\dot\beta} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\dot\delta}{}^{\dot\beta}Q_{\alpha}{}^{\dot\gamma}, \quad [M_{\dot\delta}{}^{\dot\beta}, \bar{Q}_{\dot\gamma}{}^{\alpha}] = \delta_{\dot\gamma}{}^{\dot\beta}\bar{Q}_{\dot\delta}{}^{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\dot\delta}{}^{\dot\beta}\bar{Q}_{\dot\gamma}{}^{\alpha},\\
&\{Q_{\alpha}{}^{\dot\alpha}, \bar{Q}_{\dot\beta}{}^{\beta}\} = k(\delta_{\dot\beta}{}^{\dot\alpha}M_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} + \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}M_{\dot\beta}{}^{\dot\alpha}), \quad \{Q_{\alpha}{}^{\dot\alpha}, Q_{\beta}{}^{\dot\beta}\} = \{\bar{Q}_{\dot\alpha}{}^{\alpha}, \bar{Q}_{\dot\beta}{}^{\beta}\} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

where $\alpha, \dot\beta = 1,2$ are $SU(2)\times SU(2)$ indices. We use the antisymmetric matrix $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$ ($\epsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}$) to lower undotted (dotted) indices. For example, $M_{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon_{\beta\gamma}M_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma}$ and $M_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} = \epsilon_{\dot\beta\dot\gamma}M_{\dot\alpha}{}^{\dot\gamma}$. The inverse of $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$ ($\epsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}$) is defined as $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}$ ($\epsilon^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}$), satisfying $\epsilon_{\alpha\gamma}\epsilon^{\gamma\beta} = \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}$ ($\epsilon_{\dot\alpha\dot\gamma}\epsilon^{\dot\gamma\dot\beta} = \delta_{\dot\alpha}{}^{\dot\beta}$). Note that the superalgebra (73) takes the form of (42). Since we wish to explicitly construct the Nambu 3-algebra in terms of $PSU(2|2)$, it is useful to define

$$Q^a = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{a\dagger}{}_{\dot\alpha}{}^{\alpha}Q_{\alpha}{}^{\dot\alpha}, \quad \bar{Q}^a = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{a}{}_{\alpha}{}^{\dot\alpha}\bar{Q}_{\dot\alpha}{}^{\alpha}, \quad M^{ab} = -(\sigma^{ab}{}_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}M_{\beta}{}^{\alpha} + \bar\sigma^{ab}{}_{\dot\alpha}{}^{\dot\beta}M_{\dot\beta}{}^{\dot\alpha}). \tag{74}$$

Here the $SU(2)\times SU(2)$ σ-matrices are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma^{a}{}_{\alpha}{}^{\dot\alpha} = (\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3, i\mathbb{I}), && \sigma^{a\dagger}{}_{\dot\alpha}{}^{\alpha} = (\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3, -i\mathbb{I})\\
&\sigma^{ab}{}_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = \frac{1}{4}(\sigma^a\sigma^{b\dagger} - \sigma^b\sigma^{a\dagger})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}, && \bar\sigma^{ab}{}_{\dot\alpha}{}^{\dot\beta} = \frac{1}{4}(\sigma^{a\dagger}\sigma^b - \sigma^{b\dagger}\sigma^a)_{\dot\alpha}{}^{\dot\beta},
\end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

where σ^{ab} and $\bar\sigma^{ab}$ satisfy the further 'duality' conditions

$$\sigma^{ab} = -\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{abcd}\sigma_{cd}, \qquad \bar\sigma^{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{abcd}\bar\sigma_{cd}. \tag{76}$$

Our convention is that $\varepsilon^{1234} = 1$ and $\varepsilon_{abcd} = \varepsilon^{abcd}$. With these definitions, we are able to recast the superalgebra (73) into the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&[M^{ab}, M^{cd}] = \delta^{bc}M^{ad} - \delta^{ac}M^{bd} - \delta^{bd}M^{ac} + \delta^{ad}M^{bc},\\
&[M^{ab}, Q^c] = \delta^{bc}Q^a - \delta^{ac}Q^b, \quad [M^{ab}, \bar{Q}^c] = \delta^{bc}\bar{Q}^a - \delta^{ac}\bar{Q}^b,\\
&\{Q^a, \bar{Q}^b\} = -\frac{k}{4}\varepsilon^{abcd}M_{cd}, \quad \{Q^a, Q^b\} = \{\bar{Q}^a, \bar{Q}^b\} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

Here

$$M_{cd} \equiv \delta_{ca}\delta_{db}M^{ab}. \tag{78}$$

It is still necessarily to check the Jacobi identities of (77). The MMM Jacobi identity is obviously satisfied. It is straightforward to verify that the MMQ ($MM\bar{Q}$) Jacobi identity is obeyed. The total antisymmetry of ε^{abcd} guarantees that the $QQ\bar{Q}$ ($\bar{Q}\bar{Q}Q$) Jacobi identity is obeyed. To check the $MQ\bar{Q}$ Jacobi identity, we define

$$M^{ab}_{-} = -\sigma^{ab}{}_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}M_{\beta}{}^{\alpha}, \quad M^{ab}_{+} = -\bar{\sigma}^{ab}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}}M_{\dot{\beta}}{}^{\dot{\alpha}}. \tag{79}$$

With these definitions, we note that

$$M^{ab}_{\pm} = \pm\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{abcd}M^{cd}_{\pm}, \quad [M^{ab}_{+}, M^{ab}_{-}] = 0, \quad M^{ab} = M^{ab}_{+} + M^{ab}_{-}, \quad \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{abcd}M_{cd} = M^{ab}_{+} - M^{ab}_{-}. \tag{80}$$

Using the above equations, it is not difficult to prove that the MMQ ($MM\bar{Q}$) Jacobi identity is obeyed. Now every Jacobi identity of (77) is satisfied. Therefore the superalgebra (77) is closed.

A short calculation gives

$$[t^b, t^c; \bar{t}^a] \doteq [\{Q^b, \bar{Q}^a\}, Q^c] = -\frac{k}{2}\varepsilon^{bcad}Q_d \doteq -\frac{k}{2}\varepsilon^{bcad}t_d. \tag{81}$$

Namely, the double graded commutator is indeed a realization of the Nambu 3-bracket. In this realization, the totally antisymmetric structure constants are given by

$$f^{abcd} = -\frac{k}{2}\varepsilon^{abcd}. \tag{82}$$

Also, the FI satisfied by the Nambu 3-bracket is equivalent to the MMQ Jacobi identity of (77), as we proved in section III A. Therefore the Nambu 3-algebra is realized in terms of the superalgebra $PSU(2|2)$. Hence the bosonic part of $PSU(2|2)$, $SO(4) \cong SU(2) \times SU(2)$, is the Lie algebra of the gauge group of the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ BLG theory. And the matter fields are in the vector representation of $SO(4)$. Substituting (82) into (23) and (24) gives the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ BLG theory. (In this paper, we re-scale $A_{\mu}{}^{a}{}_{b}$ by a factor $\frac{1}{k}$, i.e., $A_{\mu}{}^{a}{}_{b} \to \frac{1}{k}A_{\mu}{}^{a}{}_{b}$.) The same theory is obtained in Ref. [27] by promoting the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetry to $\mathcal{N} = 8$. The $\mathcal{N} = 8$ BLG theory was assumed to be the dual gauge description of two M2-branes.

Eq. (81) may be counterintuitive at first sigh, since the anticommutator satisfies $\{Q^b, \bar{Q}^a\} = \{\bar{Q}^a, Q^b\}$, i.e., it seems that it is symmetric in ab. However, there is no clash with fact that (81) is antisymmetric in ab if we notice that

$$\{Q^b, \bar{Q}^a\} = -\frac{k}{4}\varepsilon^{bacd}M_{cd} = -\{Q^a, \bar{Q}^b\} = \{\bar{Q}^a, Q^b\}, \tag{83}$$

namely, the last two anticommutators are *different*.

One can of course calculate the double graded commutator directly by using (73):

$$[\{Q_\alpha{}^{\dot\alpha}, \bar{Q}_{\dot\gamma}{}^{\gamma}\}, Q_\beta{}^{\dot\beta}] = k(\delta_{\dot\gamma}{}^{\dot\alpha}\delta_\beta{}^\gamma Q_\alpha{}^{\dot\beta} - \delta_\alpha{}^\gamma\delta_{\dot\gamma}{}^{\dot\beta}Q_\beta{}^{\dot\alpha}). \tag{84}$$

Here the structure constants are given by

$$f_\alpha{}^{\dot\alpha}{}_\beta{}^{\dot\beta},{}_{\dot\gamma}{}^\gamma{}_{\dot\delta}{}^\delta = k(\delta_\alpha{}^\delta\delta_\beta{}^\gamma\delta_{\dot\gamma}{}^{\dot\alpha}\delta_{\dot\delta}{}^{\dot\beta} - \delta_\alpha{}^\gamma\delta_\beta{}^\delta\delta_{\dot\gamma}{}^{\dot\beta}\delta_{\dot\delta}{}^{\dot\alpha}). \tag{85}$$

Substituting the above structure constants into (23) and (24) also gives the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ BLG theory. In this formalism, the matter fields are in the bi-fundamental representation of $SU(2) \times SU(2)$. We can convert (115) into (82) by using the equation

$$f_\alpha{}^{\dot\alpha}{}_\beta{}^{\dot\beta},{}_{\dot\gamma}{}^\gamma{}_{\dot\delta}{}^\delta(\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{a\dagger}{}_{\dot\alpha}{}^\alpha)(\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{b\dagger}{}_{\dot\beta}{}^\beta)(\frac{1}{2}\sigma^c{}_\gamma{}^{\dot\gamma})(\frac{1}{2}\sigma^d{}_\delta{}^{\dot\delta}) = f^{abcd}. \tag{86}$$

The RHS is given by

$$\frac{k}{8}[\mathrm{Tr}(\sigma^{a\dagger}\sigma^d\sigma^{b\dagger}\sigma^c - \sigma^{a\dagger}\sigma^c\sigma^{b\dagger}\sigma^d)] = -\frac{k}{2}\varepsilon^{abcd}, \tag{87}$$

which is in agreement with (82).

One can also derive the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ BLG theory in an alternatively way, by reading off the representation matrices $(\tau^{ab})^{cd}$, invariant quadratic form $k_{ab,cd}$ and structure constants $C^{ab,cd}{}_{ef}$ from (77), and substituting them into the Lagrangian (62) and the SUSY transformations (64). The representation matrices $(\tau^{ab})^{cd}$ determined by the fermionic generators (see the second line of (77)) are given by

$$(\tau^{ab})^{cd} = \delta^{ac}\delta^{bd} - \delta^{bc}\delta^{ad}. \tag{88}$$

Comparing $\{Q^a, \bar{Q}^b\} = (\tau^{cd})^{ab}k_{cd,ef}M^{ef}$ with the first equation of the third line of (77), we obtain the invariant quadratic form

$$k_{cd,ef} = -\frac{k}{8}\varepsilon_{cdef} = \frac{1}{4}f_{cdef}. \tag{89}$$

So the invariant quadratic form is nothing but the structure constants of the Nambu 3-algebra up to a constant. Since $k_{ab,cd}$ is an invariant form on the superalgebra (77), we can define

$$\tilde{M}_{ab} \equiv k_{ab,cd}M^{cd}. \tag{90}$$

Namely, if we use $k_{ab,cd}$ to lower the indices of a generator, we put a tilde on the generator. However, if we use δ_{ab} to lower the indices of a generator, we do *not* put a tilde on the generator (see (78)). Note that

$$(\tilde{\tau}^{ab})^{cd} \equiv \delta^{ae}\delta^{df}k_{ef,gh}(\tau^{gh})^{cd} = -\frac{k}{4}\varepsilon^{abcd}. \tag{91}$$

are quite different from that of (88). So the quadratic form $k_{ab,cd}$ plays a fundamental role in constructing the theory.

The structure constants $C^{ab,cd}{}_{ef}$ can be read off from the first line of (77). However, we actually used $\tilde{C}_{ab,cd,ef} \equiv k_{ab,a'b'}k_{cd,c'd'}C^{a'b',c'd'}{}_{ef}$ to construct the theory (see Eq. (63)). After some work, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{C}_{ab,cd,ef} &= \frac{k^2}{32}(\varepsilon_{abe}{}^{g}\varepsilon_{cdgf} - \varepsilon_{abf}{}^{g}\varepsilon_{cdge}) \\ &= \frac{1}{8}(f_{abe}{}^{g}f_{cdgf} - f_{abf}{}^{g}f_{cdge}).\end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

The gauge field $\hat{A}^m_\mu$ defined by (60) is given by

$$\hat{A}^{ab}_\mu = A_\mu{}^c{}_d(\tau^{ab})^d{}_c = -2A^{[ab]}_\mu. \tag{93}$$

Substituting (88), (89), (92) and (93) into the Lagrangian (62) and the SUSY transformations (64) reproduces the $\mathcal{N}=8$ BLG theory.

As a consistent check, one can also calculate the structure constants of the Nambu 3-algebra by using Eq. (48):

$$f^{abcd} = k_{ef,gh}(\tau^{ef})^{ad}(\tau^{gh})^{bc} = -\frac{k}{2}\varepsilon^{abcd}, \tag{94}$$

which are indeed the same as (82).

With (80), we can recast the superalgebra (77) into the following form

$$\begin{aligned}&[M^{ab}_\pm, M^{cd}_\pm] = \delta^{bc}M^{ad}_\pm - \delta^{ac}M^{bd}_\pm - \delta^{bd}M^{ac}_\pm + \delta^{ad}M^{bc}_\pm, \quad [M^{ab}_+, M^{cd}_-] = 0, \\ &[M^{ab}_\pm, Q^c] = \frac{1}{2}(\delta^{bc}\delta^{ad} - \delta^{ac}\delta^{bd} \mp \varepsilon^{abcd})Q_d, \quad [M^{ab}_\pm, \bar{Q}^c] = \frac{1}{2}(\delta^{bc}\delta^{ad} - \delta^{ac}\delta^{bd} \mp \varepsilon^{abcd})\bar{Q}_d, \\ &\{Q^a, \bar{Q}^b\} = -\frac{k}{2}(M^{ab}_+ - M^{ab}_-), \quad \{Q^a, Q^b\} = \{\bar{Q}^a, \bar{Q}^b\} = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

Using $M^{ab}_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(M^{ab} \pm \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{abcd}M^{cd})$, it is not difficult to prove that every Jacobi identity is obeyed. Let us now try to read off the representation matrices $(\tau^{ab}_\pm)^{cd}$, invariant quadratic forms $k_{\pm ab,cd}$ and structure constants $C_{\pm}^{ab,cd}{}_{ef}$ from (95). The representation matrices can be easily read off from the second line of (95).

$$(\tau_{\pm}^{ab})^{cd} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta^{ac}\delta^{bd} - \delta^{bc}\delta^{ad} \pm \varepsilon^{abcd}). \tag{96}$$

To determine the quadratic forms $k_{\pm ab,cd}$, we re-write the first anti-commutator of the third line of (95) as

$$\{Q^a, \bar{Q}^b\} = (\tau_{+}^{cd})^{ab} k_{+cd,ef} M_{+}^{ef} + (\tau_{-}^{cd})^{ab} k_{-cd,ef} M_{-}^{ef}. \tag{97}$$

Because of the duality conditions

$$(\tau_{\pm}^{cd})^{ab} = \pm\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{cdgh}(\tau_{\pm}^{gh})^{ab}, \quad M_{\pm}^{ef} = \pm\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{efgh}M_{\pm}^{gh}, \tag{98}$$

we must impose the duality conditions on the quadratic forms

$$k_{\pm gh,ef} = \pm\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ghcd}k_{\pm cd,ef}, \quad k_{\pm cd,gh} = \pm\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ghef}k_{\pm cd,ef}, \tag{99}$$

which lead us to the solutions:

$$k_{\pm ab,cd} = \mp\frac{k}{16}(\delta^{ac}\delta^{bd} - \delta^{bc}\delta^{ad} \pm \varepsilon^{abcd}). \tag{100}$$

Since the bosonic generators $M_{\pm}^{ab}$ satisfy the duality conditions $M_{\pm}^{ab} = \pm\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{abcd}M_{\pm}^{cd}$, we must impose the duality conditions on the structure constants:

$$C_{\pm}^{ab,cd}{}_{ef} = \pm\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{abgh}C_{\pm}^{gh,cd}{}_{ef} = \pm\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{cdgh}C_{\pm}^{ab,gh}{}_{ef} = \pm\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{efgh}C_{\pm}^{ab,cd}{}_{gh}. \tag{101}$$

The structure constants of the bosonic subalgebra of (95) can be read off from the MMQ Jacobi identities of (95):

$$[[M_{\pm}^{ab}, M_{\pm}^{cd}], Q^e] + [[M_{\pm}^{cd}, Q^e], M_{\pm}^{ab}] + [[Q^e, M_{\pm}^{ab}], M_{\pm}^{cd}] = 0. \tag{102}$$

A short calculation gives

$$C_{\pm}^{ab,cd}{}_{gh}(\tau_{\pm}^{gh})^{ef} = [\tau_{\pm}^{ab}, \tau_{\pm}^{cd}]^{ef}. \tag{103}$$

Substituting (96) into the LHS and using the duality conditions (101), the above equation becomes

$$2C_{\pm}^{ab,cd}{}_{ef} = [\tau_{\pm}^{ab}, \tau_{\pm}^{cd}]_{ef}. \tag{104}$$

Multiplying both sides by $(\tau_{\pm}^{gh})^{ef}$, the structure constants can be recast into

$$C_{\pm}^{ab,cd}{}_{gh} = \frac{1}{4}[\tau_{\pm}^{ab}, \tau_{\pm}^{cd}]_{ef}(\tau_{\pm}^{gh})^{ef} = -\frac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}([\tau_{\pm}^{ab}, \tau_{\pm}^{cd}]\tau_{\pm gh}). \tag{105}$$

Here $\tau_{\pm gh} \equiv \delta_{ge}\delta_{hf}\tau_{\pm}^{ef}$. With the first two equations of (98), the structure constants satisfy the duality conditions (101) manifestly. It is straightforward to verify that

$$-\frac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}([\tau_{\pm}^{ab}, \tau_{\pm}^{cd}]\tau_{\pm gh})M_{\pm}^{gh} = \delta^{bc}M_{\pm}^{ad} - \delta^{ac}M_{\pm}^{bd} - \delta^{bd}M_{\pm}^{ac} + \delta^{ad}M_{\pm}^{bc}, \tag{106}$$

which are exactly the same as the right-hand sides of the first two equation of (95). After some algebraic steps, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{C}_{\pm ab,cd,ef} &= k_{\pm ab,a'b'}k_{\pm cd,c'd'}C_{\pm}^{a'b',c'd'}{}_{ef} \\
&= -\frac{k^2}{64}\mathrm{Tr}([\tau_{\pm ab}, \tau_{\pm cd}]\tau_{\pm ef}) \\
&= \frac{k^2}{64}[-\delta_{ae}(\tau_{\pm cd})_{bf} + \delta_{af}(\tau_{\pm cd})_{be} + \delta_{be}(\tau_{\pm cd})_{af} - \delta_{bf}(\tau_{\pm cd})_{ae} \\
&\qquad +\delta_{ce}(\tau_{\pm ad})_{df} - \delta_{cf}(\tau_{\pm ab})_{de} + \delta_{de}(\tau_{\pm ab})_{cf} - \delta_{df}(\tau_{\pm ab})_{ce}],
\end{aligned} \tag{107}$$

where $(\tau_{\pm cd})_{bf} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{cb}\delta_{df} - \delta_{cf}\delta_{db} \pm \varepsilon_{cdbf})$. The gauge fields $\hat{A}_{\mu\pm}^{m}$ defined by (60) are given by

$$\hat{A}_{\mu\pm}^{ab} = A_{\mu}{}^{c}{}_{d}(\tau_{\pm}^{ab})^{d}{}_{c} = -(A_{\mu}^{[ab]} \pm \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{abcd}A_{\mu}^{cd}) = \pm\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{abcd}\hat{A}_{\mu\pm}^{cd}. \tag{108}$$

Substituting (96), (100), (107) and (108) into the Lagrangian (62) and the SUSY transformations (64) also reproduces the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ BLG theory.

As another consistent check, we may calculate the structure constants of the Nambu 3-algebra by substituting (96) and (100) into Eq. (48):

$$f^{abcd} = k_{+ef,gh}(\tau_{+}^{ef})^{ad}(\tau_{+}^{gh})^{bc} + k_{-ef,gh}(\tau_{-}^{ef})^{ad}(\tau_{-}^{gh})^{bc} = -\frac{k}{2}\varepsilon^{abcd}. \tag{109}$$

They are precisely the same as (82) or (94).

Finally, if we define

$$N^{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{abcd}M_{cd}, \tag{110}$$

then the superalgebra (77) can be recast into

$$\begin{aligned}
&[N^{ab}, N^{cd}] = \varepsilon^{abd}{}_{e}N^{ec} - \varepsilon^{abc}{}_{e}N^{ed}, \\
&[N^{ab}, Q^{c}] = -\varepsilon^{abcd}Q_{d}, \quad [N^{ab}, \bar{Q}^{c}] = -\varepsilon^{abcd}\bar{Q}_{d}, \\
&\{Q^{a}, \bar{Q}^{b}\} = -\frac{k}{2}N^{ab}, \quad \{Q^{a}, Q^{b}\} = \{\bar{Q}^{a}, \bar{Q}^{b}\} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{111}$$

One can verify that every Jacobi identity is obeyed. The representation matrices $(\tau^{ab})^{cd}$, invariant quadratic form $k_{ab,cd}$ and structure constants $\tilde{C}_{ab,cd,ef}$, read off from (111), are give by

$$\begin{aligned}(\tau^{ab})^{cd} &= \varepsilon^{abcd}, \quad k_{ab,cd} = -\frac{k}{8}\varepsilon_{abcd}, \\ \tilde{C}_{ab,cd,ef} &= \frac{k^2}{32}(\delta_{ae}\varepsilon_{bcdf} - \delta_{be}\varepsilon_{acdf} - \delta_{af}\varepsilon_{bcde} + \delta_{bf}\varepsilon_{acde}).\end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

One unusual feature is that both $(\tau^{ab})^{cd}$ and $k^{ab,cd}$ are proportional to ε^{abcd}. However, by the definition $\tilde{N}_{ab} = k_{ab,cd}N^{cd}$, we learn that

$$(\tilde{\tau}^{ab})^{cd} = -\frac{k}{4}(\delta^{ac}\delta^{bd} - \delta^{bc}\delta^{ad}). \tag{113}$$

Comparing (113) and the first equation of (112) with (88) and (91), respectively, we note that the roles of τ^{ab} and $\tilde{\tau}^{ab}$ are switched; this due to the definitions $N^{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{abcd}M_{cd}$ and $\tilde{N}_{ab} = k_{ab,cd}N^{cd} = -\frac{k}{4}M_{ab}$.

The gauge fields $\hat{A}^m_\mu$ defined by (60) are given by

$$\hat{A}^{ab}_\mu = A_\mu{}^c{}_d(\tau^{ab})^d{}_c = -\varepsilon^{abcd}A^{cd}_\mu. \tag{114}$$

Substituting (112) and (114) into the Lagrangian (62) and the SUSY transformations (64) also reproduces the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ BLG theory.

As the final consistent check, we calculate the structure constants of the Nambu 3-algebra by substituting the first two equations of (112) into Eq. (48):

$$f^{abcd} = k_{ef,gh}(\tau^{ef})^{ad}(\tau^{gh})^{bc} = -\frac{k}{2}\varepsilon^{abcd}. \tag{115}$$

They are precisely the same as (82), (94) or (109).

IV. A QUANTIZATION SCHEME FOR THE 3-BRACKETS

It is well known that a 3-bracket such as Nambu 3-bracket is difficult to quantize. However, since a 3-algebra can be constructed in terms of a superalgebra, we may quantize a 3-algebra system by quantizing the corresponding superalgebra system. For example, if we promote the fermionic and bosonic generators of (77) as quantum mechanical operators, and promote (81) as a quantum mechanical double graded commutator, our approach may provide a quantization scheme for the Nambu 3-bracket. Similarly, the 3-brackets of the symplectic and hermitian 3-algebras may be quantized in the same fashion.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we used superalgebras to realize the 3-algebras used to construct $\mathcal{N}=6,8$ CSM theories. We first worked out the general commutation relations of these superalgebras by decomposing the superalgebra used to realize the 3-algebra in $\mathcal{N}=5$ theory. Using this superalgebra realization of 3-algebras, i.e., $t^b \doteq Q^b$ $[t^b, t^c; \bar{t}_a] \doteq [\{Q^b, \bar{Q}_a\}, Q^c]$, we were able to rederive the general $\mathcal{N}=6$ CSM theory and the $\mathcal{N}=8$ BLG theory in terms of ordinary Lie algebras from their 3-algebra counterparts. In this realization, the Lie algebras of the Lie groups are nothing but the bosonic parts of the superalgebras, and the representations are determined by the fermionic generators. Specifically, we used $U(M|N)$ and $OSp(2|2N)$ to realize the $\mathcal{N}=6$ Hermitian 3-algebra, and used $PSU(2|2)$ to represent the Nambu 3-algebra whose structure constants are totally antisymmetric. The Nambu 3-bracket is constructed explicitly in terms of a double graded commutator of $PSU(2|2)$. The $\mathcal{N}=8$ BLG theory with $SO(4)$ gauge group was constructed by using several different ways. In summary, we have demonstrated that the superalgebra realization of the 3-algebras provides a unified framework for classifying the gauge groups of the $\mathcal{N}=5,6,8$ theories based on 3-algebras.

We also proposed a quantization scheme for the 3-brackets, by promoting the fermionic generators Q^a and $\bar{Q}_b$ as quantum mechanical operators, and by promoting the double graded commutator $[\{Q^b, \bar{Q}_a\}, Q^c]$ as quantum mechanical double graded commutator.

It would be interesting to investigate the relation between the superalgebra and 3-algebra further, and explore the physical meaning. It would be nice to investigate the relation between the matrix realization of 3-algebra and the superalgebra realization of 3-algebra, since the superalgebras may have matrix representations.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank Yong-Shi Wu for useful discussions.

Appendix A: Conventions and Useful Identities

The conventions and useful identities are adopted from our previous paper [16]. In $1+2$ dimensions, the gamma matrices are defined as

$$(\gamma_\mu)_\alpha{}^\gamma(\gamma_\nu)_\gamma{}^\beta + (\gamma_\nu)_\alpha{}^\gamma(\gamma_\mu)_\gamma{}^\beta = 2\eta_{\mu\nu}\delta_\alpha{}^\beta. \tag{A1}$$

For the metric we use the $(-,+,+)$ convention. The gamma matrices in the Majorana representation can be defined in terms of Pauli matrices: $(\gamma_\mu)_\alpha{}^\beta = (i\sigma_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_3)$, satisfying the important identity

$$(\gamma_\mu)_\alpha{}^\gamma(\gamma_\nu)_\gamma{}^\beta = \eta_{\mu\nu}\delta_\alpha{}^\beta + \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}(\gamma^\lambda)_\alpha{}^\beta. \tag{A2}$$

We also define $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} = -\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}$. So $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}\varepsilon^{\rho\nu\lambda} = -2\delta_\mu{}^\rho$. We raise and lower spinor indices with an antisymmetric matrix $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} = -\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}$, with $\epsilon_{12} = -1$. For example, $\psi^\alpha = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\psi_\beta$ and $\gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon_{\beta\gamma}(\gamma^\mu)_\alpha{}^\gamma$, where ψ_β is a Majorana spinor. Notice that $\gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} = (\mathbb{I}, -\sigma^3, \sigma^1)$ are symmetric in $\alpha\beta$. A vector can be represented by a symmetric bispinor and vice versa:

$$A_{\alpha\beta} = A_\mu\gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}, \qquad A_\mu = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_\mu^{\alpha\beta}A_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{A3}$$

We use the following spinor summation convention:

$$\psi\chi = \psi^\alpha\chi_\alpha, \qquad \psi\gamma_\mu\chi = \psi^\alpha(\gamma_\mu)_\alpha{}^\beta\chi_\beta, \tag{A4}$$

where ψ and χ are anticommuting Majorana spinors. In $1+2$ dimensions the Fierz transformation reads

$$(\lambda\chi)\psi = -\frac{1}{2}(\lambda\psi)\chi - \frac{1}{2}(\lambda\gamma_\nu\psi)\gamma^\nu\chi. \tag{A5}$$

Appendix B: The Commutation Relations of $OSp(2|2N)$ and $U(M|N)$

1. $OSp(2|2N)$

The commutation relations of $OSp(2|2N)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&[M_{ij}, M_{kl}] = 0, && \text{(B1)}\\
&[M_{ab}, M_{cd}] = \omega_{bc}M_{ad} + \omega_{ac}M_{bd} + \omega_{ad}M_{bc} + \omega_{bd}M_{ac},\\
&[M_{ij}, Q_{ck}] = \delta_{jk}Q_{ci} - \delta_{ik}Q_{cj},\\
&[M_{ab}, Q_{ck}] = \omega_{ac}Q_{bk} + \omega_{bc}Q_{ak},\\
&\{Q_{ai}, Q_{bj}\} = k(\omega_{ab}M_{ij} + \delta_{ij}M_{ab}). && \text{(B2)}
\end{aligned}$$

Here $a = 1, \cdots, 2N$ is an $Sp(2N)$ index, and $i = 1, 2$ an $SO(2)$ index. And ω_{ab} is the invariant antisymmetric tensor of $Sp(2N)$. With $\omega_{ai,bj} = \omega_{ab}\delta_{ij} = \omega_{IJ}$, the superalgebra (B1) takes the form of (30). To convert (B1) into the form of (42), we by combine the fermionic generators as follows:

$$Q_{a\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Q_{a1} \pm iQ_{a2}). \tag{B3}$$

Now (B1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&[M_{+-}, M_{+-}] = 0, \\
&[M_{ab}, M_{cd}] = \omega_{bc}M_{ad} + \omega_{ac}M_{bd} + \omega_{ad}M_{bc} + \omega_{bd}M_{ac}, \\
&[M_{+-}, Q_{c\pm}] = \mp i\epsilon_{+-}Q_{c\pm}, \\
&[M_{ab}, Q_{c\pm}] = \omega_{ac}Q_{b\pm} + \omega_{bc}Q_{a\pm}, \\
&\{Q_{a+}, Q_{b-}\} = k(\omega_{ab}M_{+-} + h_{+-}M_{ab}), \\
&\{Q_{a+}, Q_{b+}\} = \{Q_{a-}, Q_{b-}\} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{B4}$$

where $h_{+-} = h_{-+} = 1$, $\epsilon_{+-} = -\epsilon_{-+} = ih_{+-}$, and $M_{+-} \equiv -iM_{12}$. And the invariant anti-symmetric tensor becomes $\omega_{a+,b-} \equiv \omega_{ab}h_{+-}$. Now the superalgebra (B4) indeed takes the form of (42).

2. $U(M|N)$

The commutation relations of $U(M|N)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&[M_u{}^v, M_w{}^t] = \delta_w{}^v M_u{}^t - \delta_u{}^t M_w{}^v, \quad [M_{u'}{}^{v'}, M_{w'}{}^{t'}] = \delta_{w'}{}^{v'} M_{u'}{}^{t'} - \delta_{u'}{}^{t'} M_{w'}{}^{v'} \\
&[M_u{}^v, Q_w{}^{w'}] = \delta_w{}^v Q_u{}^{w'}, \quad [M_u{}^v, \bar{Q}_{w'}{}^w] = -\delta_u{}^w \bar{Q}_{w'}{}^v, \\
&[M_{u'}{}^{v'}, Q_w{}^{w'}] = -\delta_{u'}{}^{w'} Q_w{}^{v'}, \quad [M_{u'}{}^{v'}, \bar{Q}_{w'}{}^w] = \delta_{w'}{}^{v'} \bar{Q}_{u'}{}^w \\
&\{Q_u{}^{u'}, \bar{Q}_{v'}{}^v\} = k(\delta_{v'}{}^{u'} M_u{}^v + \delta_u{}^v M_{v'}{}^{u'}).
\end{aligned} \tag{B5}$$

Here $Q^a = Q_u{}^{u'}$, where $u = 1, \cdots, M$ is a fundamental index of $U(M)$, and $u' = 1, \cdots, N$ an anti-fundamental index of $U(N)$. And the anti-symmetric tensor ω_{IJ} reads

$$\omega_{IJ} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_u{}^v \delta_{u'}{}^{v'} \\ -\delta^u{}_v \delta^{u'}{}_{v'} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{B6}$$

The superalgebra (B5) also takes the form of (42) decomposed from (30).

[1] W. Chen, G.W. Semenoff and Y.S. Wu, "Scale and Conformal Invariance in Chern-Simons-Matter Field Theory", Phys. Rev. D44, 1625 (1991).

[2] W. Chen, G. Semenoff and Y.S. Wu, "Probing Topological Features in Perturbative Chern-Simons Gauge Theory", Mod. Phys. Lett. A5, 1833 (1990).

[3] W. Chen, G.W. Semenoff and Y.S. Wu, "Two-Loop Analysis of Chern-Simons-Matter Theory", Phys. Rev. D46, 5521 (1992); arXiv:hep-th/9209005.

[4] O.M. Del Cima, D.H.T. Franco, J.A. Helayel-Neto and O. Piguet, "An algebraic proof on the finiteness of Yang-Mills-Chern-Simons theory in D=3", Lett. Math. Phys. 47, 265 (1999); arXiv:math-ph/9904030.

[5] Nikolas Akerblom, Christian Saemann, Martin Wolf, "Marginal Deformations and 3-Algebra Structures", Nucl. Phys. 826B, 456 (2010), arXiv:0906.1705 [hep-th].

[6] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, "Modeling multiple M2's," Phys. Rev. D **75**, 045020 (2007), arXiv:hep-th/0611108;

[7] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, "Gauge Symmetry and Supersymmetry of Multiple M2-Branes," Phys. Rev. D **77**, 065008 (2008), arXiv:0711.0955 [hep-th];

[8] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, "Comments On Multiple M2-branes," JHEP **0802**, 105 (2008), arXiv:0712.3738 [hep-th].

[9] A. Gustavsson, "Algebraic structures on parallel M2-branes," Nucl. Phys. B811:66-76, 2009, arXiv:0709.1260 [hep-th].

[10] A. Gustavsson, "Selfdual strings and loop space Nahm equations," JHEP **0804**, 083 (2008), arXiv:0802.3456 [hep-th].

[11] Neil Lambert and Constantinos Papageorgakis, "Relating U(N)×U(N) to SU(N)×SU(N) Chern-Simons Membrane theories," JHEP 1004:104,2010, arXiv:1001.4779 [hep-th].

[12] Jonathan Bagger, George Bruhn, "Three-Algebras in N = 5, 6 Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories: Representations and Relations," Phys. Rev. D 83, 025003 (2011), arXiv:1006.0040 [hep-th].

[13] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, "Three-algebras and $\mathcal{N}$=6 Chern-Simons gauge theories," Phys. Rev. D 79, 025002 (2009), arXiv:0807.0163 [hep-th].

[14] Fa-Min Chen and Yong-Shi Wu, "Symplectic three-algebra and $\mathcal{N} = 6, Sp(2N) \times U(1)$ superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theory ," European Physics Journal C, Volume 69, Numbers 1-2, 305-314 (2010), arXiv:0902.3454 [hep-th].

[15] Fa-Min Chen, "Symplectic three-algebra unifying $\mathcal{N} = 5, 6$ superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories," J. High Energy Physics 1008:077,2010, arXiv:0908.2618 [hep-th]

[16] Fa-Min Chen, Yong-Shi Wu, "Superspace Formulation in a Three-Algebra Approach to $D = 3, \mathcal{N} = 4, 5$ Superconformal Chern-Simons Matter Theories," Phys. Rev. D, Volume 82, Issue 10 (2010), arXiv: 1007.5157 [hep-th].

[17] Fa-Min Chen and Yong-Shi Wu, " Construction of New $D = 3, \mathcal{N} = 4$ Quiver Gauge Theories," in preparation.

[18] K. Hosomichi, K. M. Lee, S. Lee, S. Lee and J. Park, "$\mathcal{N}$=5,6 superconformal Chern-Simons theories and M2-branes on orbifolds," J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2008) 002, arXiv:0806.4977 [hep-th].

[19] Jerome P. Gauntlett, Jan B. Gutowski, "Constraining Maximally Supersymmetric Membrane Actions," J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2008) 053, arXiv:0804.3078 [hep-th].

[20] G. Papadopoulos, "M2-branes, 3-Lie Algebras and Plucker relations ," J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2008) 054, arXiv:0804.2662 [hep-th].

[21] Tamar Friedmann, "Orbifold Singularities, the LATKe, and Pure Yang-Mills with Matter," arXiv:0806.0024 [math.AG].

[22] Paul de Medeiros, José Figueroa-O'Farrill, Elena Méndez-Escobar, "Superpotentials for superconformal Chern-Simons theories from representation theory," J. Phys. A 42, 485204 (2009), arXiv:0908.2125 [hep-th].

[23] José Figueroa-O'Farrill, "Simplicity in the Faulkner construction," J. Phys. A 42, 445206 (2009), arXiv:0905.4900 [hep-th].

[24] Jakob Palmkvist, "Three-algebras, triple systems and 3-graded Lie superalgebras," J. Phys. A 43, 015205 (2010), arXiv:0905.2468 [hep-th].

[25] D. Gaiotto and E. Witten, "Janus configurations, Chern-Simons couplings, and the theta-angle in $\mathcal{N}$=4 super Yang-Mills theory," J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2010) 097, arXiv:0804.2907 [hep-th].

[26] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D. L. Jafferis and J. Maldacena, "$\mathcal{N}$=6 superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories, M2-branes and their gravity duals," J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2008)

091, arXiv:0806.1218 [hep-th].

[27] K. Hosomichi, K. M. Lee, S. Lee, S. Lee and J. Park, "$\mathcal{N}$=4 superconformal Chern-Simons theories with hyper and twisted hyper multiplets," J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2008) 091, arXiv:0805.3662 [hep-th].